

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/038,891 01/02/2002		Jeffrey T. Borenstein	62030(51588)	8813	
21874 7	590 07/22/2005		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			NAFF, DAVID M		
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal B	rief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/038,891	BORENSTEIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David M. Naff	1651	

Potovo the Eiling of an Annual Priof		<u> </u>					
Before the Fill	Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David M. Naff	1651				
The MAILING	DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 Ju</u>	IV 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
· ·	y expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of </u>			•			
event, however, wil	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE	FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
been filed is the date for purpo CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from	ained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on ses of determining the period of extension and the expiration date of the shortened structured by the Office later than three month it. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
	al was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice o	f Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any eppeal has been filed, any reply must be	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.			
3. X The proposed ame	ndment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise nev	v issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
· · — ·	issue of new matter (see NOTE belo						
appeal; and/o				the issues for			
• • •	additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
_	<u>Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	- *-					
	re not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).			
	as overcome the following rejection(s	-					
the non-allowable cl			·	_			
how the new or ame	peal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ended claims would be rejected is pro aim(s) is (or will be) as follows: one.		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to							
Claim(s) rejected: 1	2,4-26 and 28-32.	•					
` ,	from consideration: <u>27 and 33-37</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER E							
because applicant fa	r evidence filed after a final action, b alled to provide a showing of good ar presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
entered because the	r evidence filed after the date of filing affidavit or other evidence failed to o sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	er evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	it does NOT aloos the application is	in condition for allacco	maa haaawaa			
See Continuation S				ance decause:			
	Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>6/29/05</u>				
13.		(David M. Nati	Wy			

David M. Naff Primary Examiner ' Art Unit: 1651

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amending claims to require a micromachined polymer scaffold, and deleting from claims "wherein the microchannels are about 10 to 50 microns in diameter" raises new issues for consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are most since they are directed to the non-entered claims requiring a micromachined polymer scaffold. The request for an interview is noted. However, an interview after final rejection is permitted only if the examiner is convinced that the interview will result in disposal or clarification for appeal with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search are denied. See MPEP 713.09. In the present case, an interview will not result in disposal or clarification for appeal with only nominal further consideration. The amendment has not been entered, and claims requiring a micromachined polymer scaffold in the absence of microchannels of about 10 to 50 microns in diameter have not been searched and examined.